

Presentation Management Team (70) Scoring Rubric

RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER	TFAM	
	ILAW	
PRESENTATION SCORE		
Judge 1 (240 points)		
Judge 2 (240 points)		
Judge 3 (240 points)		
Total Judges Points		
Divided by # of judges		
AVERAGE OF JUDGES SCORES		(240 maximum
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS		(40 maximum
TOTAL SCORE		(280 maximum)
TOTAL SCORE		(200 IIIaxIIIIuIII)
RANK		



Presentation Management Team (70) Scoring Rubric

Judge Number	Contestant Number
--------------	-------------------

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Items to Evaluate	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Opening and summary	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Objectives to be achieved are stated	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Stage presence and delivery	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
General team appearance	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Participation by all team members	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Typography (typeface, size, & color)	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Functional graphics contribute to the progression of the idea	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Charts and graphs used effectively	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Effective use of color and space	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Effective use of multimedia technology	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Development of stated theme	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Answers to Judges' questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION SCORE (240 points maximum)					

Presentation will be stopped at 10 minutes.

Specification Points Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none are awarded by the proctor per team, not per judge.		
Team Entry Form and Release Form(s) provided at check in	10	
Works cited provided and formatted according to the Styles & Reference Manual	10	
Presentation lasted no less than seven (7) and no more than ten (10) minutes. (No points awarded if presentation is less than seven (7) or more than ten (10) minutes .)	10	
All registered team members are in attendance for entire event	10	
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (40 points maximum)		



Presentation Management Team (70) Scoring Rubric

JUDGE'S COMMENTS

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)
(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding
vour analysis of his/her competition.)

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)